G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.
Geol 42-46 (1-4) (5-6)

Preserved

1919-19

(Geol)

File No. 13-69

Serial Nos. 1-9

Later references.
Geol 81-19 (1-2)
Geol 74-20 (1-4)
Geol 90 (5-10)
Geol 104-22 (3, 6, 7, 8)

(41)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 9

Part B for April 1920.

SUBJECT.

Establishment of staff required for the survey & demarcation of prospecting & mining blocks & the charge recoverable from the lessees or licensees on account of the survey etc.

1901 Dy 18-1-19. From the Director of Mines & Geology
Submits proposals re lease.

G 20877-1 Dy 1-3-20 To The Director of Mines & Geology
The Director of Geology
The Comptroller to Govt. Mines for formal approval.
Issues orders in the matter of lease.

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 28-1-19

11954

G. R. S. III-1]

1918-19

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

NOTES.

File No. 73-18

FILE HEADING.

[illegible] Staff

From

[illegible] Mines & Geology

No. [illegible] Dated 8-1-19 Received 20-1-19

Diary No. [illegible] Enclos. Spare Copies. [Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTERS.

[illegible] for [illegible] given that the entertainment of the [illegible] Staff with an increase to the pay of the measurer from Rs 20/- to Rs 2[illegible] to be continued for a period of two years from 17-2-19 [illegible] regarding the charges that may be received for [illegible] on accounts of the survey & [illegible] of the block

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Submitted for orders with references.

[illegible] There are two points provided in the Director's communication. The first relates to the question of the exp[illegible] on the survey work being charged to the licensees and lessees wh[illegible]

23-1-19.

NOTES—First Sheet.

blocks have been surveyed. The Director thinks that as the surveys are intended to safeguard the interests of Govt the licensees should not be taxed with the cost incurred for the pay and travelling allces of the surveyors but ~~it might~~ be charged only the contingent expe. This recommendation does not seem acceptable. In the first place Govt may order a survey of a prospecting block whenever the Dept considers such a survey desirable and then the licensee "shall pay the expenses of the survey and demarcation." (Vide rule 19 of Prospecting rules). Expenses here would mean all expense specially incurred for the surveying work whether on establishment or travelling allces or contingencies. Similarly the mining rules (Rule 24) In the second place this establishment was sanctioned on the understanding that the whole expe would be recovered from the licensees and lessees (Vide file 97 of 916 put up). In the third place the amount spe on contingencies is a very small fraction of the whole expe and Govt would have to lose nearly Rs3000/ if the recommendation is sanctioned. As however the Director says that the establishment did not have all the work it could have done the

7 1916

E

NOTE--Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

most that can be done would seem to be to charge each lessee or licensee the actual charges for the number of days that the party worked on his block. Even this course results in some loss to Govt which can be ascertained only when it is known what portion of the two years the party have spent without any work to do. It is also not clear why if there was not sufficient work for the survey staff for the whole time the Director did not arrange for whatever work there was being finished as quickly as possible and then disband the establishment.

3 The second point for orders relates to the continuance of the establishment. The Director anticipates that survey work will increase in the near future and requests that the staff may be continued for a further period of two years. This may be done provided the cost will be recovered from lessees and it will not be a charge on Govt. To say that a survey is ordered for Govt's interests only is to look at the matter too much from the lessees' point of view and

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

11954 4

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Geol File No. 73 of 191 8-19

Serial No.

in any case the rules make it the lessee's business to meet the charges and there is not enough reason to remove that burden from the lessees and shift it on to Govt. If the Director thinks that it is not possible to recover the charges or the larger portion of the charges from lessees and licensees the staff may be discontinued and the help of the survey dept called in whenever necessary.

This will not do. CG

I agree RW

4 Orders have not issued regarding the marking of survey nos. indicated in prospecting blocks but they could hardly engage a surveyor & measurer and two peons.

~~On this point therefore orders may~~

5 As however the present term of two years will expire on 17. 2. 19 and the staff may be required for any ~~remaining~~ work still remaining for some time, it may be continued till the end of the current year and then further entertainment sanctioned if on a further report from the Director it seems that the exps can be recovered from lessees &c or that there is other work in the dept which would engage the staff & would justify the exps &c —

For orders

Chief Secretary

Jne 25/1

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1799—GPB—60,000—20-8-18—S.

11954 5

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 7 of 191

Serial No.

Yes. Still there is some force in the explanation offered.

6 The laxity on the part of the Dept in getting the surveys made is very unsatisfactory.

I agree

7 As regards the future we may make the surveys obligatory & recover the cost from the licensees – this will mean that Govt will bear the cost when the men are not actually engaged in survey work – which may perhaps amount to 1/3 or 1/4 of the total time. The charge to each block may be assessed on the days spent on the survey & according to any fixed scale of fees per acre or sq mile

The appointment – both ord. & year. be an early matter –

8 If this is approved the establishment may be continued for another two years.

4218-7
1-2-19.

2nd. in number)

31/1/19
31/1/19

9 The Establishment may be continued for another year at least. It seems to me that a more workable arrangement would be to recover from the licensees a fixed fee for every survey, all Est & other charges being met by Govt in the first instance. I do not know if a sliding scale is practicable but it may be considered.

10 Please compute
Director of Survey

Reel
25-2-19

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1/3 2/3

11954 6

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 73 of 191 8-19

Serial No.

12 Submitted with a draft. The first para of the letter continuing the establishment pending further orders seems neat. Draft may be appd

AHS 2-3-19

Chief Secy

13 For approval

2nd member

5032-114 5-3-19

14 Draft approved

7.3.19

15 Fin Branch for ~~[illegible]~~ amendment. Draft para under repd issue -

1985-GS

16 The draft may issue if sanction to the continuance of the Temporary establishment be limited to the end of the current year. Otherwise the proposal is financially inadmissible under para 23 of the Financial Settlement Order of 13-5-18.

17 The question of the continuance of the staff for the remaining portion of 2 years as proposed by the Director may be considered as ~~xx~~ a new item of expenditure at budget time.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

It

1332 11954 7

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Geol File No. 73 of 191 8.

Serial No.

18 It will be included in list (b)

CHIEF SECRETARIAT IV MINC 28 MAR 1919

Chief Secy)

19 Submitted with reference to paragraph 15 above

28-3-19

20) Draft slightly altered accdg to financial opinion by the addition of "till the end of the current year" in line 6.

Not with issue now approved

29.3.19

5805-400 29.3.19

Second member)

Secy. Yes. May issue now

31.3.19

Done

2.4.19

2 To The Director Dept. of Mines & Geol.

G.22566 of 4.4.1919.

States that the Survey Staff may be continued till the end of the current official year & enquires whether the cost of Survey may not be recovered from the petitioner

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

6462
6782

8

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Geol File No. 73 of 1918

Serial No.

3 From the Director of Mines & Geology
No 901 26-9-19
Submits for orders a note regarding the survey of prospecting & mining blocks together with proposals in regard to the charges to be levied from the licensees or lessees.
Submitted with reference to paragraph 4 above
AHS. 13-10-19

4 From the Director, Dept of Geology
No 935 dt 6-10-19
Requests that sanction may be accorded for the disbursement of the pay to the staff until final orders are passed in the matter of the further continuance of their services.
Submitted with a draft sanctioning the recommendations of the director
AHS. 13-10-19
Draft may be approved. To issue
Case will be further worked up afterwards
(Chief Secy) 3/11

2969-102
4-11-19

(1st member)

26 Draft approved.
27 To Circle Officers of 24-9-19 to be brought up to date & disposal to be intimated.

By 4/11

For favourable consideration before issue
3-11-19

(Chief Secy)

20-11-19

NOTE—Contd. sheet.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY

6782
6462

G. R. S. III-2]

9

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Geol File No. 73 of 1918

Serial No.

Chetwell

Submitted

AHS. 26-11-19

30 Issue

JW 29/11

5 To

The Director Dept. of Geol

" Comptroller to f

G. 11920 J/ 24.11.19.

Authorises the Comptroller to pass the pay bills of the Survey Sta. ref. to in Sl 4 pending fur. orders on the question of the Survey of blo-chlsts

31 Submitted from Dos with reference to paragraph 27 above.

AHS. 25-11-19

32 Please get details for the bills as originally prepared - JW 29/11

33 Submitted with a note.

AHS. 2-12-19.

34 Issue

JW 7/12

To

The Director Dept. of Geo.

G. 13025 J/ 6.12.19.

~~Bills~~ Requests that the details for the totals of the bills as originally prepared & shown in the statement ref. to in Sl 3 may be furnished

MINOR LOG
DEC 1919
A

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

7 From the Director, Dept of Geology
No 1489 dt 19-12-19
Submits a statement showing the details of the totals of the bills for the survey & demarcation of prospecting blocks as originally proposed, & refers in remarks column of statement A.

35 Submitted with reference to paragraph 32 above

APS
27-12-19.

36 Dr Smeeth, in his note of 24.9.19, proposed the adoption of a certain scale of charges for surveys of blocks based on the portion of actual charges ~~which have~~ so far incurred in such cases, which he considered reasonably chargeable to the licensee or lessee

37 ~~As the~~ scale proposed is based on the charges in particular cases which he considers reasonable ~~these~~ may be examined.

38 The amounts of ~~the~~ original bills with details are found in the statement received with the Director's letter of 19/12/19 and the same details for the charges which Dr S. would pass in ~~the~~ statement A appended to his note.

39 Taking the first case in the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

list, for example, the number of days charged for in the bill is 32 while in Dr. S's statement it is 15. The difference of 17 days is too large to be struck off as due to slow work and too small to have been the interval for correspondence &c. The number 15 seems to have been taken more or less arbitrarily as representing the number of days required for the work. This has reduced the amount of pay charges. The original bill shows a sum of Rs 103/- for T.A. & Dr. Smith's off a total of Rs 80 odd. The difference is too large to be a fair deduction for ~~delay~~ slow work or for ~~travelling~~ unnecessary mileage due as suggested by Dr. S., generally, to the party camping at a distance from the block. This reduces the portion of the T.A. chargeable. Thus allege Mr while Govt have spent on the establishment for the survey a total of Rs 216/- and odd they are to charge to the lessee only Rs 83/- and odd.

(as stated generally in Dr. S's note.)

4. Either the staff should be very inefficient & the supervision very slack or Govt are be charging too little for the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 191 .

Serial No.

for the work their staff does for the lessee.

41 The same remarks apply to the other cases also more or less.

42 Dr. Smeeth may be asked to state for the information of Govt in further detail the considerations in one or two of these cases which have determined the number of days for which the pay is to be charged, and also the amount of mileage &c. proposed to be claimed.

43 Dr. Smeeth suggests that the appln fee may be considered as supplementing the amount to be charged for surveys. The proposal seems unacceptable. The appln fee is levied to cover expenses incidental to the consideration of an appln & not to be carried over to expenses in surveys if necessary.

44 If this enquiry for details proposed above is considered unnecessary the ~~present~~ rates proposed may be slightly increased ~~so as at least~~ so as to reduce the disparity between the original bills & the proposed bills. Perhaps the following

Serial No.

rates might be considered:—

For a mining lease block Rs 100/-

For a prospg license block Rs 100/- Rs 125/- Rs 150/- for the three limits of area proposed by Dr S

45 These rates are not excessive they may not always cover the expe of Govt on the survey staff but they may be adopted tentatively & changed later if necessary

46 If this proposal is considered suitable, Dr S. may be consulted again about the rates here proposed

Ch. Secy), 47 There is no doubt that the survey work was done in an unbusiness like manner. The actual charges incurred are not therefore fair standards on the other hand Dr Smeeth's proposals do not make allce for the circumstance that Govt has to maintain the survey establishment all the ~~time~~ year round whether they are fully employed or not. The rates fixed must therefore provide a margin for such unemployment also, since

Inq 5/1

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

10960
1162

14

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Genl File No. 14 of 1918-19

Serial No.

it is not fair to charge the general tax payer with this special expenditure

118 For the present therefore - say for a period of three years - we may adopt the rates suggested by Dr Smeeth with a slight increase as suggested by the asst Secy in the last portion of his note.

119 The scale may be stated more simply as follows -

(1) For a mining block - 100 Rs

(2) For a prospecting block

(a) for the 1st Sq mile .100

(b) for every addl. sq mile or portion thereof 25 Rs

A

50 Costing stones, Cost of the rule be paid in addition.

2800.308
27.1.20.

(1st member)

CBK
26/1/20

A 51 approved

Ilbert.
28.1.20

52 Mayt-angly please

CBK
28/1/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet

0933 15

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 191

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on FEB 14 1920
Reg. No. 5596

Serial No.

Submitted with a draft (Typed fair)
For approval.
HH 30-1-20 Chief Secy.) 31/1/20

1st member
Dewan
CSR 10/2/20

Draft initialed
Dwl
13.2.20.

Draft approved by the Dewan
16/2/20
D.S.N please.
16/2/20.

Submitted with a D.F.N. & a D.S.N.
HH 19-2-20. Please put up a clean copy of the D.F.N. as revised.

Submitted with a typed copy of the D.F.N. 24/2/20
HH 24-2-20 For approval.
1st Member) 24/2/20.
24/2

Submitted with a D.S.N. for approval
Chief Secy.) 27/2/20
Dewan
CSR 28/2/20

S-233-308
12-2-1920

81
25/2/20

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on FEB 28 1920
Reg. No. 5970

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Final Note by the First Member of Council.

Subject:-

Survey and demarcation of prospecting and mining blocks and the staff required therefor.

E In Government Order No. 9817-18/Geol.92-16-4 dated 16th January 1917, the entertainment of the marginally noted temporary staff was sanctioned for a period of two years for making a preliminary survey and demarcation in the case of all blocks for which prospecting licenses were then in force as well as in the case of those for which licenses may be granted in the future and it was ordered that the cost should be met in the first instance by re-appropriation of sanctioned grants and recovered from the licensees in due course.

One surveyor on Rs. 70/-	
One measurer on Rs. 20/-	
Two peons on Rs. 8/- each	

2. When the period of two years for which the staff had been entertained was about to expire, the Director, Department of Geology, applied to Government on 18th January 1919 for the continuance of the staff for a further period of two years with a small increase of Rs. 5 per mensem to the pay of the Measurer and took that opportunity of urging that it would not in his opinion be quite reasonable to claim from the parties the entire cost comprising the pay and travelling allowance of the survey staff as well as contingent expenses as the survey and demarcation were made with a view to safeguard the interests of Government much more than those of the licensees or lessees and suggesting that the charges leviable should be confined to actual contingent and other expenses, such as, supply of coolies, boundary stones etc. At the same time, he commended the question of adopting certain definite fees for survey and demarcation for the consideration of Government.

3. Sanction was thereupon accorded on 4th April 1919 to the continuance of the survey staff on the old scale till the end of the official year 1918-19 and a report was called for from the Director as to whether it would not be advisable to make the survey of blocks obligatory and recover the cost from the licensees, the charges being assessed on the days spent on the survey or according to some fixed scale of fees at so much per acre or -- square mile and in case he was agreeable to the adoption of a fixed scale of fees, he was asked to send up his proposals regarding the scale that might be conveniently adopted.

4. Dr. Smeeth thereupon submitted a detailed note on the subject with his letter dated 24th September 1919 in which he proposed the following scale of fees for adoption, the actual charges incurred on the survey staff while employed on survey and demarcation work forming the main basis for such a scale:-

Block not exceeding 1 Sq. mile	...	Rs. 75/-
Block exceeding 1 Sq. mile but not exceeding 2 Sq. miles.	...	Rs.100/-
Block exceeding 2 Sq.miles but not exceeding 4 Sq. miles.	...	Rs.125/-
For each additional 2 Sq. miles or portions thereof.	...	Rs. 25/-

5. After a careful consideration of all aspects of the case, Mr. P.Raghavendra Rao, the then 1st Member of Council, thought that in lieu of the above scale of fees, the following scale may be adopted for a period of three years.

(1) For a mining block	...	Rs. 100/-
(2) For a prospecting block		
(a) For the first square mile .		Rs. 100/-
(b) For every additional square mile or portion thereof.		Rs. 25/-

the cost of stones, coolies etc. being recovered in addition.

6. The accompanying draft order sanctioning the levy of the above scale of fees and also the continuance of the survey

staff for a period of two years with effect from 17th February 1919 with an increase in the pay of the Measurer from Rs. 20/- to Rs. 25/- per mensem which has met with the approval of the Dewan is submitted for the gracious approval of His Highness.

B A N G A L O R E
27th February 1920.

MEMBER OF COUNCIL.
17.2.20

1

Submitted to His Highness.

...

I submit herewith, for His Highness' gracious approval, a final note by the First Member of Council on the question of the survey and demarcation of prospecting and mining blocks, the staff required therefor, and the scale of fees to be levied for the purpose from the Licensees and Lessees of Prospecting or Mining Blocks.

[illegible]

4th March
1920

10960 GO 73-40 20

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Scale of fees and continuance of the staff for the survey and demarcation of prospecting and mining blocks.

No 929 4.3.20

939

Order.

K RW
6/3/20

7.3.20

6/3

10/3

4.96 10/8/20

10960

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Govt File No. 79 of 1919-20

Serial No.

8

To the secretary to Govt Mines & Geology as the case may be

The director of Geology.

The encl to Govt Mines J C Ball.

No 2077 7 & 7.3.20.

Vide list, rate of fees that may be levied ~~as accounts of~~ for survey & demarcation of the blocks & approve the continuance of the survey staff as proposed.

H. H. has approved the proposal. F/D opinion is noted in para 6. Draft & fair communication the order submitted

Secy)

13/3

16/8/20

Issue

16/3/20

Issued 17/3

Submitted for orders as to whether a notification may be issued, for the information of the public publishing the rates of fees leviable for the survey & demarcation of prospecting and mining blocks. A draft notification is hereunder submitted.

A.D.S. 1-4-20.

Issue

3/4/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

10960

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Gob File No. 73 of 1919.

Serial No.	
1	To The Compiler Mysore Gazette G. 23090 dt 7.4.1920. Notifies the rates of fees to be levied on account of survey & demarcation of prospecting & mining blocks G. 23074 dt 7.4.1 Forwards a copy of Sl 9 to the Director Dept. of Geol

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Urgent

23

DIARY No. 11954

D.M.G. 13]

No. 1901

BANGALORE, 19 1919

FROM

DR. W. F. SMEETH, M.A., D.SC., A.R.S.M. etc.,

Director, Department of Mines and Geology,

Mysore State.

33418

To

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honour to invite a kind reference to Government Order No.G.9817-18/Geol.92-16-4, dated the 16th January 1917, sanctioning the entertainment, for a period of two years, of a temporary staff as noted in the margin, at a cost of Rs.106 per mensem for the survey and demarcation of prospecting and mining blocks. The period of two years for which the staff has been entertained expires on the 17th February 1919 and the question of the continuance of their services has now to be considered.

One Surveyor	Rs.70.
One Measurer	Rs.20.
Two peons @ Rs.8/- each	Rs.16.
Total	Rs.106

2. Since the entertainment of the staff on the 17th February 1917, 3 mining and 12 prospecting blocks comprising a total area of 8442 acres have been surveyed and demarcated up to date. The total expenditure incurred for the work up to date, which includes the salary and travelling allowance of the staff and contingent expenses amounts to Rs.3635-2-7 as noted in the margin. The total amount

	Rs.2377-6-10.
Travelling allowance.	Rs. 999-12-9.
Contingent expenses.	Rs. 257-15-0.
Total	Rs.3635- 2-7.

amount of the deposits received from the lessees and licensees on account of the charges for the survey and demarcation of the blocks is Rs. 810/-. The apportionment of the total expenditure among the several licensees and lessees has yet to be made and bills for the actual expenses incurred sent to them for adjustment.

3. The number of blocks that were surveyed and demarcated up to date is far less than what the survey staff could tackle within the period in question. This is due to no fault on their part, but solely to the reluctance on the part of a majority of licensees who did not like to be saddled with the expenses of survey and demarcation owing to the depressed condition of the mineral market in consequence of the war and also to the fact that in some cases mining leases were expected to be taken out over revised blocks on the expiry of the term of the prospecting licenses. Representations and protests of this kind have deterred the rigid enforcement of our right to insist upon the survey and demarcation of prospecting blocks and the work done hitherto was, therefore, only in respect of blocks, the holders of which unhesitatingly agreed to get them surveyed and demarcated, or of those which stood in urgent need of survey and demarcation owing to the licenses or leases issued over contiguous blocks It is important, however, that work of this kind should necessarily be continued every year and duly provided for. For this purpose the services of the survey staff would be indispensible. Further, with the restoration of normal conditions, as a result of the termination of the war, there may be greater activities in the exploitation of minerals in future and a corresponding greater demand for licenses and leases. Apart from this, a more cogent necessity for the services of the survey staff will be seen in the fact that it is recently desired by Government that tracings from village maps showing, more or less exactly, the survey

numbers

numbers of the lands comprised in prospecting licenses and mining leases should be appended thereto. It is, therefore, of imperative necessity that we should be permanently provided with a suitable survey staff, but for the present, I would recommend the continuance of the employment of the present survey staff with a small increase to the pay of the Measurer, from Rs.20/- to Rs.25/- per mensem, for a further period of two years from the 17th February 1919, after which period the question of their permanent entertainment may be considered. The Measurer is reported to be a capable official and to have worked satisfactorily. The increase of pay proposed for him is necessary to induce him to continue to remain in the Department owing to the high cost of living.

4. The expenditure to be incurred on account of this staff for the remaining period of the current official year, from 17th February 1919, can be met out of the savings anticipated under the head " Preparation of Geological Maps."

5. With regard to the recovery of the expenses of survey and demarcation from the licensees, it seems to me that it would not be quite reasonable to claim from the parties the entire cost ~~of~~ comprising the pay and travelling allowance of the survey staff and contingent expenses. The survey and demarcation are made with the intention of safeguarding the interests of Government much more than those of the licensees or lessees. The main idea is to ensure that no licensee or lessee works on land which is not within the area of his license or lease. It is only fair, therefore, that the Government should not tax him with the cost of the survey staff and I beg to recommend, therefore, that the charges leviable from licensees and lessees should not include the pay and travelling allowance of the survey staff, but should be confined to actual contingent and other expenses such as, supplies of coolies,

W.O.

coolies, boundary stones, etc., If this is done, licensees would not grudge or hesitate to agree to the survey and demarcation of the blocks even in the prospecting stages. The question of adopting certain definite fees survey and demarcation may perhaps be considered. In the meantime it would be inadvisable to disband the present staff until the whole matter has been further considered.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Director,

Department of Mines and Geology.

HRS.

14 11954 27

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 4 . 4 . 19

Signed by Jos M. V. Iyengar.

Section Geol

File No. 73-8

Serial No. 2

No. G 22566

To The Director of Mines & Geology

Sir,

With reference to your letter no 1901 A 10-1-19, I am directed to state that, pending further orders of Govt, the temporary staff for the survey & demarcation of prospecting & mining blocks may be continued till the end of the current year or the sale sanctioned in G.O. no G.D. 17-18 / Geol 92-16-4 dt 16-1-1917, the expenditure being met, as proposed, from the savings expected under the head "preparation of Geological Maps" in the current year's Geological budget.

P.T.O. over.

P.T.O.

P.V. 3/4

As regards the question of recovering fees from the licensees for the survey & demarcation of blocks, I am to enquire whether the survey of blocks should not be made obligatory & the cost recovered from the licensees. ~~This would mean that Govt would bear the cost when the party are not actually engaged in survey work which may probably amount to $\frac{1}{3}$ or $\frac{1}{4}$ of the total time & whilst the charges to each block may not be allotted on the days spent on the survey or according to some fixed scale of fees at so much per acre or sq mile.~~ In case a fixed scale of fees may be adopted I am to enquire what scale ~~you would~~ you would propose. The favour of a very early reply is requested.

7. 3. 19

List of enclosures.

Thanks

W. 3/3

for Chief Secy to Govt.

Memo. 22567 3/3 8/4.4.19

A copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Employer to Govt for information.

By order

W. 3/3

for Chief Secy to Govt

Copied by

Examined by

W D86--GPB--60,000--25-11

6462 29

DIARY NO. 9243

D.M.G. 12].

BANGALORE, 24th September 1919.

No. 901/Eccs. 2 (Mr. Ashe)

Geol 73-18-3

FROM

DR. W. F. SMEETH, M.A., D.Sc., A.R.S.M., etc.,
Director, Department of Mines and Geology,
Mysore State.

To

S.S. Balasundara Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.G.28954/Geol.73-18, dated 30th June 1919, I have the honor to forward herewith, for the orders of Government, a note regarding the survey of prospecting and mining blocks, together with proposals in regard to the charges to be levied from the licensees and lessees.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

W. F. Smeeth

Director,

Department of Mines and Geology.

cvv

Survey of Mining Blocks.

The question of survey of Mining and Prospecting blocks is a vexed one and it is a difficult matter to decide how they should be carried out and what charges should be debited to the licensee. A survey staff was appointed while I was away on furlough and a number of surveys carried out. The accounts for a number of these are still outstanding and several of the licensees have protested strongly against the bills submitted to them.

I am satisfied that the bills which have been made out are, in several cases, excessive for the services rendered and I have been at great pains to go into the accounts and procedure before submitting the matter to Government and to enable me to arrive at a decision as to what I would recommend in the case of the particular accounts in question and what procedure I would advise for the future.

In the remarks column of the attached statement, I give the totals of the bills originally prepared. On examining the original details, I find several charges which cannot fairly be claimed against the licensees. For instance :--

> The pay of the staff has been started from the day on which notice was sent to the licensee and continued until the maps were completed-
>
> Also pay and halting allowances have been claimed for days lost between the survey of one block and another

In both of these cases, time should have been given for correspondence and for the licensee to agree to a suitable date for the commencement of survey and for making necessary arrangements and the party should not start or make any charges until such arrangements are complete.

Again,

Again, a good many halts in camp have been charged for which appear to me to have been unnecessary. In some cases these are stated to be due to preparation of field sketches, delays on the part of licensees in providing boundary stones, coolies, etc., but even so the time spent appears to have been unduly prolonged for the work done.

Another objectionable feature is the fact that the party has camped in several cases, at a considerable distance from the block with the result that they had to travel to and fro distances of 4 to 7 miles to get to their work, thereby not only adding considerable charges for mileage but very materially extending the period for the survey work over and above what it ought to take. Such long journeys are probably made for the convenience of the party and might, I think, have been avoided and I have warned the Surveyor that such charges will not be passed in future except under very exceptional circumstances. The bills of course include charges for providing boundary stones, coolies, etc., which are legitimately chargeable to the licensee.

The staff was doubtless inexperienced in the work and their rate of progress was, in my opinion, very slow for the class of work done - all of which increases cost to the disadvantage of the licensee and for which I don't think he can fairly be charged.

Bearing the above points in mind and with a view to arriving at some reasonable scale of charges, I have prepared a modified statement in which I have taken out --

(1) The number of days actually spent on the survey and demarcation of each block;

(2) The number of days spent on travelling to & from the block and on preparation of the maps;

(3) Pay of the staff for the total number of days under (1) and (2);

(4) Daily allowances while halting at the block for survey work;

(5) Railway fares and mileages for travelling between Head Quarters and the Block or between one block and another. (If several blocks are done on the same trip, the Railway fares and mileage is distributed between them.)

(6) Contingent charges;

(7) Expenditure on stones, cooly, etc., which should have been provided by licensee;

In this way I arrive at the totals given in the last column.

It appears to me that the totals of the first six items, as shown in column 13, afford some reasonable information on which to base a Table of Fees and, in my opinion, it would be much sounder and fairer to adopt some definite scale of fees which the licensee or lessee would be called upon to pay instead of providing, as at present, that he shall pay the expenses of the survey and demarcation without his having any idea of what those expenses may amount to.

Of the totals given in column 13, the last four relate to Mining Lease Blocks and the average cost per Block is Rs.68-8-5. In future the surveys should cost relatively less as the areas will be defined with reference to Revenue Survey marks and less time will be required to locate the boundaries before taking measurements.

We may, however, allow a margin to cover cases in which there may be some special difficulty or in which the Block is more than usually difficult of access and I propose that the fee for a Lease Block be Rs.80/-. We need not take into account the size of the blocks - which will vary from ⅛ to ½ square mile, as it will cost, on an average, as much for a small as for a large Block taking into account the journey to and from the Block and general items apart from area.

With

With regard to Prospecting Licenses, the statement shows 8 cases in which the charges vary from Rs.32-11-0 to Rs.134-3-0 with an average charge of Rs.72-4-8. In future, charges should be less for the reasons given above and in fact comparatively few Prospecting Licenses should require survey or demarcation, as the majority will be defined by Revenue Survey boundaries. The areas of the blocks may vary from a portion of a square mile up to 4 square miles and more in exceptional cases and I would make a distinction according to the area to be surveyed on the following lines.-

Block not exceeding 1 sq. mile.	...	Rs. 75/-
" exceeding 1 sq. mile but not exceeding 2 sq. miles.	...	" 100/-
" " 2 sq. miles but not exceeding 4 sq. miles.	...	" 125/-
For each additional 2 sq. miles or portion thereof.	...	" 25/-

The licensee or lessee will, in addition, supply boundary stones and labour for fixing them or pay the charges incurred therefor and if he causes delay in pointing out boundaries or in providing stones, &c., a penalty of Rs.5/- per day may be imposed for any delay so caused or the survey fee may be increased by 50%. To see how this would work out I have prepared statement B, in which I give the totals calculated in Col.15 of Statement A and alongside, the fees and other charges according to the proposed scale.

It will be seen that the charges according to the proposed scale total up to appreciably more than the modified charges as calculated by me in Statement A and the latter are I believe, more than will be incurred, on an average, in future. The scale provides an ample margin to cover difficult cases and to cover any legitimate charges for mileage which the survey staff might be permitted to draw in the case of inconveniently situated blocks.

I trust therefore that the scale I have proposed may be approved and sanctioned. Of course, the charges do not come up to anything like those in the bills originally prepared, but as I have pointed out, these are hopelessly excessive in many cases and largely unwarranted and have been strongly protested against.

There is, however, a further point to be borhe in mind. The applicant for a lease pays a fee of Rs.100/- which is intended primarily to act as a deterrent to those who might give trouble by putting in several applications as a speculation and then selecting whichever might appear to be most suitable or satisfactory and declining to take out the others. This sort of thing has happened in the past and to discourage it, I made the application fee Rs.100/- with liability to confiscation if the application was withdrawn or a lease refused.

When, however, the lease is accepted by the applicant the fee becomes the property of Government and may be regarded as a payment for the preparation of the lease, map, &c., including survey and demarcation. If, therefore, we charge a fee of Rs.80/- for survey and demarcation, we have a total of Rs.180/- to cover survey, preparation of plans, preparation of lease, &c. As the survey and demarcation expenses should be less than Rs.80/- on an average and as other office charges are small, the total of Rs.180/- should leave a good deal in hand in respect of each Lease Block and taking this into account I am satisfied that the special survey fee of Rs.80/- which I have proposed is amply sufficient.

If we add Rs.100/- to the fees chargeable for each lease, as shown in Statement B, the total comes to Rs.729-8-0 which is several times the amount, I have calculated as fairly chargeable, viz,- Rs.283-9-9, and appreciably more than the excessive original bills (Rs.622-15-0.) As most of the

surveys

surveys will in future be for Mining Leases, the incidence of the charges on them is what most concerns us.

In the case of Prospecting Licenses, we shall have in hand an application fee of from Rs.25/- to Rs.40/- per Block in addition to the survey fees which I have proposed and this will still further enhance the average margin in our favour though the totals will not come up to the very exorbitant charges of the original bills.

It remains to be considered what should be done about sending out fresh bills. We might reduce the bills to the amounts shown in column 15 of Statement A and which I consider to be more or less reasonable. I do not like, however, to directly reduce the bills on protest. I would prefer to say that the matter has been submitted to Government who have approved of the adoption of a uniform scale of charges which will be published later with the Revised Rules for grant of leases and licenses and to send out bills calculated accordingly as shown in Statement B.

For the future, with the scale of fees which I have proposed, there should be no trouble or difficulty, but I would suggest that as soon as practicable a younger man should be entertained as Surveyor. I have nothing against our present Surveyor personally, but he is an old retired officer of the Revenue Survey and rather slow. We should endeavour to get a younger man who could carry out the simple surveys necessary and who could undertake other duties when not engaged on lease surveys - such as surveys and plans of pits and other workings, keeping the plans up-to-date and assistance in the drawing office.

The Fieldsmen might be taught to act as Measurers and gradually learn simple survey work so that we would not be dependent on a single surveyor and the fieldsmen could then

assist

assist in keeping up the plans and sketches of work done on mining and prospecting blocks thus enabling a record to be maintained and affording assistance to prospectors who, as a rule, have not the means nor knowledge for keeping proper plans and records of their work.

Bangalore,
24th Septr.1919.

Director,
Department of Mines and Geology.

cvv

57

Statement.

Modified statement 7

License or Lease No.	Name of License or Lessee.	Situation of the Block. Taluk.	District.	Area in acres.	Number of days spent for Survey and demarcation	For Preparation of maps and Travelling to & from the Block.	Total No. of Days.	Cost. Pay for Total days @ Rs 3-8-6	Daily allowance for halts at Block @ Rs 1-7-3	Rail fare mile
					1		8	9		
								"A" Prospecting Blocks		
456	Mr. J. Burr	Gubbi	Tumkur	618 3/4	11	4	15	52-15-6	15-15-9	15-
458	" B.S. Anwar Ahmed	Chickmagalur-halli	Tumkur	1211 1/4	16	4	20	70-0-0	23-4-0	29-
384	" G.F. Baker.	Mysore	Mysore	600	7	4	11	38-13-6	10-2-9	6
463	Messrs. Oakley Brodmtes.	Mysore	Mysore	1500	10	3	13	45-14-6	14-8-6	6-
471	Mr. Md. Nasirudin Khan	Mysore	Mysore	640	8	3	11	38-13-6	11-10-0	6-
402 403	The Mysore Minerals Ltd.,	Mysore	Mysore	320 320	6	7	13	45-14-6	8-11-6	6-
468	Mr. J. Burr.	Hole Narsipur	Hassan	640	8	4	12	42-6-0	11-10-0	17-
								"B" Mining Blocks		
L.[illegible] No.	Messrs. T. Chinnasamy Iyer & M. Singaravelu Mudaliar.	Hole Narsipur	Hassan	280	9	4	13	45-14-6	13-1-3	9-
P.L. No. 441	Mr. V. Sundaramurthy Mudaliar	Channarayapatna.	Hassan.	320	4	4	8	28-4-0	5-13-0	34-
P.L. No. 447	Mr. V. Manickavachamudaliar.	Nelamangala	Bangalore	80	7	5	12	42-6-0	10-2-9	9-
P.L. No. 460	City Brick and Tile	Dodballapur	Bangalore	360	6	3	9	31-12-6	8-11-6	11-

Bangalore,
22 September 1919

A

Cost of surveys.

...ry and ...ge	Contingencies	Total.	Cost of stores & coolies,	Total cost.	Amount of Bill as originally prepared.	Remarks
... 0	14-2-6	98-3-9	48-8-0	146-11-9	Rs 279.	
... 0	11-11-0	184-3-0	78-0-0	212-3-0	" 364.	
9 6	4-3-0	59-12-9		59-12-9	" 97.	
9 0	4-3-0	71-3-0		71-3-0	" 203.	
9 0	4-3-0	61-3-6	10-6-0	71-9-6	" 161.	
9 0	4-3-0 }	65-6-0		32-11-0 32-11-0 }	" 155.	
8 0	6-13-0	78-5-0	22 2/10-0	100-15-0	" 184.	
					Total 1443	
3 3	6-13-0	75-10-0		75-10-0	Rs 285.	
9-0	6-13-0	75-6-0		75-6-0	" 169.	
6 0	5-4-0	67-2-9	9-8-0	76-10-9	" 113	
1 0	4 0-0	55-15-0		55-15-0	" 55. 15. 0	
					Total 622. 15. 0	

Director,
Department of Mines & Geology.

Statement B.

License or Lease No.	Area in acres.	Totals from column 15 of statement A.	Charges according to proposed scale. Fee.	Cost of stores and Coolies etc.,	Penalties	Totals	Remarks.
			A "P[illegible] [illegible]"				
456	618 3/4	146-11-9	75-0-0	48-8-0	37-8-0	161-0-0	Penalties taken at 50% of License fees in absence of definite details as to delays caused.
458	1211 1/4	212-3-0	100-0-0	78-0-0	50-0-0	228-8-0	
384	600	59-12-9	75-0-0			75-0-	
463	1500	71-3-0	125-0-0			125-0-0	
471	640	71-9-6	75-0-0	10-6-0		85-6-0	
402	320	32-11-0	75-0-0			75-0-0	
403	320	32-11-0	75-0-0			75-0-0	
468	640	100-15-0	75-0-0	22-10-0	37-8-0	135-2-0	
Totals		727-13-0				960-0-0	
			B Mining Leases				
[illegible] 350	280	75-10-0	80-0-0			80-0-0	
[illegible] 441	320	75-6-0	80-0-0			80-0-0	
[illegible] 447	80	76-10-9	80-0-0	9-8-0		89-8-0	
[illegible] 460	360	55-15-0	80-0-0			80-0-0	
Totals		283-9-9				329-8-0	

Bangalore,
27 September 1919

Director,
Department of Mines & Geology

6782—39

DIARY NO.....

No. 935—

Dated, Camp Mysore, 1st October 1919.

Bangalore 6.

7-OCT 1919

From

B. Jayaram, Esq., F.G.S
Director,
Mysore Geological Department.

To

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to para 1 of your letter No.G.22566/Geol.73-18-3, dated 4th April 1919, ordering the continuance till the end of the official year 1918-19 of the temporary Survey Staff of this Department, on the scale sanctioned in Government Order No.9817-18/Geol.92-16-4, dated 16th January 1917, I have the honor to state that the temporary survey staff has been continued to be employed in this Department pending final orders of Government in the matter of the further continuance of their services in this Department, and to request, therefore, that sanction of Government may kindly be accorded for the disbursement of the pay to the staff on the scale sanctioned in the aforesaid Government Order, until final orders are passed by Government on the proposals submitted by Dr. W.F.Smeeth in the Note forwarded to you with his letter No.901, dated 24th September 1919. The expenditure can be temporarily met from the head "Preparation of Geological Maps" in the current year's budget.

The favour of early orders is solicited as the staff is

put

-8-d

put to hardship owing to the non-disbursement of their pa
since July 1919.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B. Jayaram

Director,

Mysore Geological Department.

(vv

4 copies 1A

G.R.S. VI-71]

1A

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 24/11

Signed by Mr. Mrs Lyar

One surveyor on Rs 70/-
One Measurer on Rs 20/-
Two peons on Rs 8/- each

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section Geol.

File No. 73-18.

Serial No. 5

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read —

Govt order no G 98 17 18 / Geol 92-16 dated 16-1-1917 sanctioning the entertainment ~~of the temporary staff~~ of the marginally noted temporary staff for the survey & demarcation of P.Gols for a period of two years the cost being met in the first instance by the reappropriation of ~~the~~ sanctioned grants and recovered from the licensees in due course

2. Letter no G 22566 / Geol 73-18 ~~Order Thereon—No.~~ dated 4-4-19 from the chief Secretary to Govt to the Director, Dep of Mines & Geology stating that pending further orders the temporary staff referred ~~to in the order read above~~ may be

P.T.O.

continued till the end of the year 1918-19 in the scale sanctioned in Govt order of 11-1-17

~~3 letter no of form~~

3. Correspondence ending with letter no 935 dated 6 the October 1919 from the Director, Dept of Geology requesting that sanction may be accorded for the disbursement of the pay to the staff on the scale sanctioned in the aforesaid Govt order until final orders are passed in the matter of the further continuance of this ~~temporary staff~~ services, the expenditure being met from the provision under the head "Preparation of Geological Maps" in the current years budget.

order no G 11920-1

~~The Director's proposal for the disbursement of the pay sanctioned to the staff pending orders of Govt in regard to the further continuance of the staff is approved, the cost being met from the~~ indicated.

Chief Secy to Govt.

Pending orders on the question of the survey of blocks &c and the estt required therefor the Comptr is authorised to pay the existing staff as noted above. ~~may be paid~~

~~List~~ of enclosures.

To The Director, Dept of Geology
The Comptroller to Govt.

Copied by

Examined by

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

******

R e a d:-

Government Order No. G.9817-18/Geol 92-16-4 dated 16th January 1917 sanctioning the entertainment of the marginally noted temporary staff for the survey and demarcation of prospecting blocks for a period of two years, the cost being met in the first instance by the reappropriation of sanctioned grants and recovered from the licensees in due course.

1 Surveyor	on Rs.	70/-
1 Measurer	on Rs.	20/-
2 Peons @ Rs. 8/- each		16/-
Total	Rs.	106/-

2. Letter No. G.22566/Geol 73-18-2 dated 4th April 1919 from the Chief Secretary to Government, to the Director, Department of Mines and Geology, stating that pending further orders the temporary staff may be continued till the end of the year 1918-19 on the scale sanctioned in Government Order of 16th January 1917.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No. 935 dated 6th October 1919 from the Director, Department of Geology, requesting that sanction may be accorded to the disbursement of the pay to the staff on the scale sanctioned in the aforesaid Government Order until final orders are passed in the matter of the further continuance of their services, the expenditure being met from the provision under the head "Preparation of Gological Maps" in the currency year's budget.

****

Order No. G/1920-21/Geol 73-18-5

Dated, Bangalore the 24 November 1919.

Pending orders on the question of the survey, blocks etc., and the establishment required therefor, the Comptroller is authorised to pass the pay of the existing ~~establishment~~ staff as noted above.

Chief Secretary to Government.

P.T.O.

To

The Director, Department of Geology.

The Comptroller to Government.

MRN.2.

45

G. R. S. VI—G/32 3/IV

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( )
, the 191

Date of issue 6/7/19

Signed by Sur. M.V. Iyengar

Section Geol.
File No. 73-18.
Serial No. 6

No. G13025

To The Director, Dept of ~~Mines~~ Geology ~~& Special Surv~~

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 901 G 26-9-1919 forwarding a note regarding survey of prospecting & mining blocks together with proposals in regard to the charges to be levied from the licensees & lessees, I am directed to request that details ~~regarding details of~~ for the totals of the bills as originally prepared, shown in the remarks column of the statement, accompanying your letter under reference may kindly be furnished at a very early date.

I have the
[illegible]
Acting Secretary

over.

46

M. G. D. 12].

NGALORE, 14/12/ 19

No. 1439
Cues

DIARY NO. 10960.

16090

FROM

B. JAYA RAM, ESQ., F. G. S.,
Director Mysore Geological Department

73-18

7

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

SECRETARIAT.
IV
Mysore Depts.
20 DEC 1919

SIR,

In compliance with your letter No.G.13025/Geol.73-18 6, dated 6th December 1919, I have the honour to forward herewith a statement showing the details of the totals of the bills for the survey and demarcation of certain prospecting blocks as originally prepared and shown in the remarks column of the statement A which accompanied this office letter No.901, dated 26th September 1919.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

B. Jaya Ram
Director,
Mysore Geological Department.

C.N.

Statement showing the details of the totals of the bills for the Sum
shown in the remarks Column of the statement A which accompanied let

Licence No.	Name of Licensee or Lessee.	Situation of the Block		Area in acres.	Period		Total Number of days	Actual Pay.	Deta
		Taluk	District		From	To.			
								"A" Prospecting	
456.	Mr. J. Burr	Gubbi	Tumkur	618 3/4	23.5.17	25.6.17	32	118.1.2.	1
158.	" B.S. Ameer Ahmed	Chikkanayakanhalli	Tumkur	1211 1/4	26.6.17	25.7.17	30	106.0.0	1
3[illegible]	" G.F. Baker Malabar	Mysore	Mysore	600.	21.2.18	4.3.18	12.	42.8.00	
463	Messrs Oakley Bowden & Co. Bangalore.	Mysore	Mysore.	1500	5.3.18	7.4.18	32	113.1.2.	
[illegible]	Messrs. Nazirudeen Khan & Mahomed Khan	-Do	-Do-	640	8.4.18	30.4.18	23	81.12.0	
402. [illegible]	The Mysore Minerals, Ld.	-Do	Do	320 / 320	1.5.18	21.5.18	21.	74.8.0	
463.	Mr. J. Burr.	Hole Narsipur	Hassan	640.	8.7.18	31.7.18	24	85.0.0	
								"B." Minim	
L.No 360	Messrs. T. Chinnasamy Iyer & M. Sengaravelu Mudaliar.	Hole Narsipur	Hassan	280.	22.5.18	7.7.18	45	159.0.0	
L.No [illegible]	Mr. V. Sundaramurty Mudaliar.	Channarayapatna	Hassan	320	1.8.18	20.8.18	20	70.4.0	
No [illegible]	" V. Manickavelu Mudr.	Nelamangala	Bangalore	80	27.11.18	12.12.18	16	55.8.0	
L.No 480	City Brick & Tile Works	Dodballapur	-Do-	360	25.3.19	2.4.19	9.	31.12.6	

d demarcation of Certain blocks originally prepared
1901, dated 26th Septer 1910

Expenditure		Contingencies	Cooly, purchase of stores etc.	Total amount demanded, fraction of a Rupee ommitted
		4. 2. 6	48. 8. 0.	Rs. 279/-
	3. 2.	11. 11. 0	78. 0. 0.	" 364/-
	" 9	4. 3. 0		" 97/-
	5. 5 4	4. 3. 0		" 203/-
	5 8. 5	4. 3. 0	10. 6. 0	" 161/-
	6 5 0	4. 3. 0		" 155/-
	" 10	6. 13. 0.	22. 10. 0	" 184/-
B. [illegible]				
	20. 0. 3	6. 13. 0.		" 285/-
	8. 8	6. 13. 0		" 169/-
	" 6	5. 4. 0	9. 8. 0	" 113/-
		4. 0. 0		557/15/0.

[illegible]
for Director,
Mysore Geological Department
10/12/19

Govt — No 7C 5795 8/17/3

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVT. OF H.H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

****

Read:-

Two Surveyors on Rs. 70/-
One Measurer on Rs. 20/-
Two peons on Rs. 8/- each.

Govt. order No.G.9817-18/Geol 92-16-4 dated 16th January 1917 sanctioning the entertainment of the marginally noted temporary staff for the survey and demarcation of prospecting blocks for a period of two years, the cost being met, in the first instance, by reappropriation of sanctioned grants and recovered from the licensees in due course.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. 901 dated 26th Sept. 1919 from the Director Dept. of Mines and Geology submitting a report on the work done by the survey staff and a note regarding the survey of prospecting and mining blocks ~~together with~~ & Quotations proposals in regard to the charges to be recovered from the licensees & lessees.

3. G.O.No.G.11920-21/Geol 73-18-5 dated 24th November 1919 directing that pending orders on the question of the survey of blocks etc., and the establishment required therefor, the pay of the existing staff be passed.

4. Correspondence ending with letter No. 1489 dated 19th December 1919 from the Director, Dept. of Geology furnishing certain information called for in the matter.

Order No. G 20897-9 Geol 73-18-8

Dated, Bangalore the 9/3/20

Govt. are pleased to direct that the following rates of fees be charged for a period of three years from ~~the~~ licensees and lessees of mining leases towards the expenses incurred by Govt. on account of the -- survey and demarcation of the blocks applied for:-

(1) For a mining block-Rs. 100/-

(2) For a prospecting block.

(a) for the first Sq.Mile-Rs. 100/-

(b) for every additional Sq. Mile or portion thereof — Rs.25/-

The cost of stones, coolies etc., should be paid in addition.

2. The Director's recommendations for the continuance of the survey staff for a period of two years with effect from 17th Feb 1919 and for the increase of pay of the - measurer from Rs. 20/- to Rs. 25/- p.m. are approved. He is requested to point out, to the Comptroller direct, the source from -- which the extra expenditure may be met during the current year. The question of further entertainment of the survy staff - will be considered after receipt of a further report in the matter from the Director.

Fair draft)

Chief Secy. to Govt.

To

The Director of Mines and Geology on Special duty.

The Director, Dept. of Geology.

The Comptroller to Govt. through Fl.Sect

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
( )
, *the* 191

Date of issue.....7/4.....

Signed by.....

Section Geol
File No. 73-8
Serial No. 9

No. G 23093

Notification.

~~To~~

The Govt of His Highness the Maharaja are pleased to direct that the following rates of fees be charged for a period of three years from licensees and lessees towards the expenditure incurred by Govt on account of the survey and demarcation of prospecting and mining blocks applied for:—

(1) For a mining block Rs. 100/-

(2) For a prospecting block
(a) for the first sq mile Rs 100/-
(b) for every additional sq mile or portion thereof Rs 25/-

The cost of stones, cooliés etc should be paid in addition.

By order

Sd 3/4/20 Secy to Govt

To the compiler, Mysore Gazette for publication

P.20

70

with the request that 250 copies of the notification may be supplied to the Director, Dept of Geology and 100 copies to the Secretariat.

Memo. G 28094 / Geol

A copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Director, Dept of Geology for information.

B. O.

DCS
3/4/20

Foreign Freight Geol

3/4/20

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined

WD 86—GPB—60,000—15-11-16